
Armstrong Economics™

Is Fortuna Going to CHANGE COURSE FOR OBAMA?



Will A New
third
PARTY
ARISE
FOR
2012?

MARTIN A. ARMSTRONG
former Chairman of Princeton Economics International, Ltd.

Martin A. Armstrong
#12518-050
FCI Fort Dix Camp
PO Box 2000
Fort Dix, NJ 08640

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank many who have been sending info from various countries from the old Soviet Republics to Asia, and of course with special mention for Latvia and Australia.

I would like to thank many of my old friends who use to gather key intelligence for Princeton Economics around the world. It has truly been encouraging to know that those vital efforts are still in place.

Keeping track of the real events around the world is a group effort that benefits all of us and our posterity. The U.S. government will never respond to anything of substance, and constantly argues only procedure to refuse to release me. I do not honestly know if that day will ever come. I am clearly a political prisoner who is just being arbitrarily held with no lawful authority no different than the alleged terrorist in Cuba who are denied trials, yet just also detained without public explanation.

I realize that former staff around the world and partners believe that I have been arbitrarily held and no former employees were ever allowed to testify on my behalf as was done to James Smith on October 3rd, 2000, or that the Receiver Alan Cohen and Tancred Shiovoni had placed border watches on former partners to arrest them if they tried to come to the United States to defend their property rights. This is the sad state of corruption of the U.S. courts.

I realize that former staff around the world and partners believe that I have been arbitrarily held for refusing to work for the CIA. I believe that it also has something to do with my reluctance to be some sort of a Presidential advisor to George W. Bush since it has been his personal cousin who has illegally seized all my appeals and John M. Walker has rejected every effort to obtain a fair trial. I believe further that perhaps because his son also was an Investment Banker, that the powers that be wanted Princeton Economics just eliminated at all costs. Perhaps we will never know or perhaps it has been a combined effort to stop the forecasts they could not control.

PLEASE REGISTER YOUR EMAIL ADDRESS
FOR FUTURE UPDATED NEWS CRITICAL TO OUR SURVIVAL

ArmstrongEconomics@Gmail.COM

Copyright, Martin A. Armstrong, all rights reserved

This Report may be forwarded as you like without charge to individuals or governments around the world. It is provided as a **Public Service** at this time without cost because of the critical facts that we now faced economically. The contents and designs of the systems are in fact copyrighted. At a future date, a new edition of the 1986 **The Greatest Bull Market In History** will be released and a new book will soon be published on the model itself - **The Geometry of Time**. It is vital that we do not forget this is a world economy and the arrogance that any nation can dictate to the world is just insanity. Every nation effects all others no different than if one nation were to pour all its toxic waste into the ocean. Everything is interlinked and solutions are never isolated events.

Is Fortuna Going To Change Course For Obama?

Obama's
Writs of
Assistance

Repeating History
Once Again



Lord Charles Pratt Camden
(1714 - 1794)

By: Martin A. Armstrong

June 12th, 2009

Former Chairman of Princeton Economics International, Ltd.
and Foundation For The Study of Cycles

Never do we seem to consult history just to see if someone did the same thing before. In every science, we learn from our key effort to accumulate knowledge, and build upon our past efforts and mistakes. Every field builds upon the past except one - the **Eternal Sea of Politics**. What we are witnessing with the efforts to demand foreign nations turnover all Americans who have accounts overseas so they can be criminally investigated, is nothing new. This is precisely the same action carried out by King George III that ignited the American Revolution. The most dangerous people to the welfare of the people are always politicians, for they act in their personal self-interest, that is always contrary to the rights, privileges, and immunities that were to be human rights. Because our politicians never consult history, they doom us to repeat it.

History Repeats because of human nature never changes. You probably are under the misconception that the seed of the American Revolution had to do with taxes. However, this was preceeded by the abuse of general warrants or writs of assistance issued by the king. They are the very reason why we have the **Fourth Amendment** that no one shall enter your home without a search warrant that is specific naming the person sought and or what is being sought, based upon the probable cause to believe that there is just cause for the entry.

One of the earliest bulwarks of liberty was **Lord Charles Pratt Camden** (1714-1794). He was the Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas (1761-1766) who made a ruling against the king that James Madison himself later commented, "There and then the American Revolution was born." The case was Entick v Carrington (1764-1765). The king's writs of general assistance were formally declared to be unlawful. This is not merely the core of the **Fourth Amendment**, but it was this case that established the right to privacy in your home, yet few Americans know anything about this Spark that ignited the American Revolution & Obama is repeating right now!

Thanks to the Republicans who have forgotten what liberty is and twisted the purpose of government into a religious state based upon **Calvanism**, they have so profoundly altered the **Rule of Law** making it virtually extinct. If judges dare rule in favor of a citizen, they are "**liberal**" turning the Constitution on its head. At the beginning, the concern was that no one should be subjected to anything without absolute proof. Sir William Blackstone whose **Commentaries of the Law of England (1776)** was the Bible upon which all of our legal foundation was built, clearly held that it was better that 10 guilty go free than 1 innocent man suffer. Today, the United States is the least tolerant, imprisons more than one-third of all prisoners world wide, imprisons more than Russia and China combined, and has the longest sentences in history. Over 1,000 people imprisoned for murder or rape have been exonerated by DNA. They do their best to deny you every right even counsel. Republican ideal is that it is far better that anyone we suspect should be placed in prison than 1 guilty escape, and let God (if he exists at all) sort it out.

The **Fourth Amendment** has been the most marginalized since it limits the power of government in ways they do not like. The Press is manipulated to help expand government power by violating the law in cases that people just want justice. One such case was that of Mr. Williams, a black man who killed a white girl and buried her. His lawyer told him to self-surrender and told the police not to question him. They got him to show them where the body was and he was found guilty. The Supreme Court overruled because indicted defendants cannot be interrogated without counsel, Brewer v Williams, 430 US 387 (1977). The police knew the law, but broke it anyway just to win.

Williams was put on trial a second time using the same coerced evidence. Perhaps the prosecutor believed he could not prove his case without revealing Williams showed them where the body was buried. Again an appeal was taken up to the Supreme Court but the press was called out over the outrage of letting a black man go for killing a white child. This time, however, the make up of the court was changed.

To accommodate the demands that this man not escape punishment irrespective of the illegal actions of the police, the Supreme Court agreed to hear the same case twice. The first decision was written by Justice Stewart joined by Brennan, Powell, Marshall and Stevens, with a dissent by Chief Justice Burger, White, Blackmun, and Rehnquist. The second decision shows how the constitution means nothing, when the defendant could have been placed in a mental ward for the rest of his life still behind a fence, just minus the criminal conviction. Now to squeeze that conviction, the Supreme Court invented the "**inevitable discovery rule**" that means that if the police would have ultimately found the evidence, then everything is ok. This second decision was published as Nix v Williams, 467 US 431 (1984), and this absurdity was written by Chief Justice Burger, joined by Rehnquist, White, Blackmun, Powell and a new appointment, O'Connor, who altered the **Fourth Amendment** to get one man. Effectively, the government can and does argue that search warrants are not always necessary for they would inevitably get you anyway. This expanded into the fact that if the police were to stop a car, it has even the right to search passengers.

The government can clearly violate the rights of the worst offender, knowing that all they have to do is show his crime, and the press will stir the people up to give away all their personal rights just to ensure this one person pays. There is no **Rule of Law** when we can alter the law on an individual basis after the fact to get anyone. This is not what we call "law" and the Constitution forbids this as well as the **Ex-Post Facto Clause**, that the Judiciary holds does not apply to them.

In the case of Williams, this was a man who could be put away either as a criminal or as a mental patient. For whatever reason, society seems to think he must be convicted as a criminal, but often to do that, we sell our own souls. I met a seriously retarded boy who could hardly speak a sentence without a major speech problem. He was locked up because someone thought it was cool to have a retard call the White House and claim he was a terrorist.

Drug dealers use retarded kids to make deliveries. They retain a single thought and carry it out, yet prosecutors care nothing about human rights, only the number of convictions regardless of the quality.

The purpose of the **Fourth Amendment** has diminished to the respect courts have perhaps for an ant crawling on their bench. It is ironic that this is the very essence of what the American Revolution was truly ignited yet we have surrendered our very core rights, privileges, and immunities without a single shot fired.

The Writs of **General Assistance** that had sparked the American Revolution, are now the very same course of action that Obama is taking regarding the offshore deposits of Americans. We have no evidence against a particular person. Neither did the king. What the United States is demanding is to invade other nations and on a wholesale basis, turnover all Americans. This is no different than the king entering your home and searching with a **Writ of General Assistance** to look for anything he could possibly charge you with, with absolutely no such probable cause.

Everything that once made America so great that inspired so many to leave Europe for the new land of freedom, has been lost to the hatred and greed of politicians. We are neither safe in our liberty from the **Republicans** nor from the **Democrats** for both only care about extending their own policy and furthering their own power until the last flicker of Liberty dies. Next we will be unable to walk down the street without identification as in East Germany;

"Papers - Please!"

You may think this is an exaggeration, however, you should read Hiibel v Sixth Judicial District Court of Nevada, 542 US 177 (2004) where it was upheld that based on a Nevada statute, you must identify yourself to any police officer whatsoever when asked. The Supreme Court upheld the very government demand "**Papers, please**" and Mr. Hiibel was not a criminal, but his refusal to produce identification was held to be a crime for which they can put you in jail. So much for the land of the free. So if you think you can stand on principle, you will be standing in a cell.

It was also held in Atwater v City of Lago Vista, 532 US 318 (2001), that the **Fourth Amendment** which was to prevent also the illegal seizure of a person, does not

apply where a police officer arrested a housewife who had little children in the car because she did not have a seatbelt on. The entire question was how can a person be arrested and taken to jail for a misdemeanor that did not include any imprisonment penalty? The Supreme Court written by Justice Souter, the so called "**liberal**" Republican, held that the police can haul you off to jail for anything, even a crime that does not authorize any imprisonment. The police even were taking her 3-year old son and 5-year old daughter into custody. A neighbor had to take the children to save them too from jail. This is what we have been reduced to.

There is no respect for what America stood for at all. **Lord Camden** was truly a defender of liberty. It is a shame there are no judges like this on the bench in any Federal Court and certainly not in the Supreme Court.

Lord Camden also granted a **writ of habeas corpus** that surely no **Republican** would agree with today, since this writ has less than 1% success rate. The writ was granted in the matter of **John Wilkes** who wrote a periodical entitled "**North Briton**" in which he referred to a speech of King George III and accused him of being a liar. He was arrested for "**sedition**" using one of these general writs of assistance. The habeas corpus was granted in **Wilkes v Wood** (1763).

These writs were basically not much different from the **Patriot Act** that just allowed the king's men to do as they please. This was the real issue of contention that James Madison attributed the birth of the American Revolution.

It has been the **Republican Patriot Act** that has destroyed the **Fourth Amendment** altogether. This allows the seizure of just about anything, has turned the banks into KGB type secret informers against the very people they pretend are valued clients, and whatever rights to privacy you thought you may have had, kiss that illusion good-bye.

Don't think for one minute that just because Bush pushed this legislation through Congress wiping out the **Fourth Amendment** and then stacked the courts so no judge will ever

rule against it, that the Democrats will repeal it. The Patriot Act allows the unprecedented rise of a police state that can be employed against the "rich" for just look at how many cases there are under this authority that had nothing at all to do with terrorism.

Look at Elliott Spritzer, former governor of New York, where it was the Patriot Act that requires banks to now secretly report you to the feds on any transaction that looks strange to them. Here the transaction was paying for prostitutes. This certainly had nothing to do with terrorism.

Banks have been converted into the secret police and you do not realize that here too, this would have violated the **Fourth Amendment**. I went behind the old Berlin Wall before it fell. I see no difference in substance other than we pretend you still have rights until you try to assert them.

My deep concern is history has now come full circle. Just having any account overseas even for legitimate business operations because you have sales or purchases taking place there, will be the grounds for a new wholesale inspection with the presumption that you are most certainly guilty. It is like the courts hold. Even if you go to trial and the jury acquits you, judges argue that does not mean you are innocent - just that the government did not have enough evidence to prove you guilty at a standard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

The Supreme Court nullified the entire purpose of a jury trial in its astonishing holding listed as *Per Curiam* because no one had the courage to pen their name to the opinion, that was in fact signed by Chief Justice Rehnquist, O'Connor, Scalia, Souter, Thomas, Ginsburg, and Breyer over the dissent of Justice Stevens and Kennedy.

In Watts v United States, 519 US 148 (1997) it was held that in a federal criminal case in which the accused has been convicted on only one charge and acquitted by the jury on all others, the jury's verdict of acquittal does not prevent the court from still sentencing you on the acquitted conduct. The whole

purpose of the jury was to ensure that the people found citizens guilty. The purpose of the grand jury was that only the people could indict. The courts have removed the people and they are essentially there only for show.

The courts have held that even if the evidence seized was illegal and could not constitutionally be used in trial, that has no effect for sentencing. Several circuits have held the **Fourth Amendment** when it even does have some effect for trial, has no such effect for sentencing, US v Torres, 926 F2d 321, 324-5 (3rd Cir 1991); US v Montoya-Ortiz, 7 F3d 1171, 1181 (5th Cir 1993); US v Jenkins, 4 F3d 1338, 1345 (6th Cir 1993). Similar decisions have been sustained in the 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th Circuits as well as in Washington, DC.

Lord Camden joined the House of Lords in 1765. He there defended the colonists regarding the Stamp Act (taxation). Due to his defense of the law and the rights of the American colonists, the king dismissed him as a jurist. This is also one of the reasons judges have life tenure, yet they abuse this by stacking the courts with the very opposite of what it was intended to prevent.


The significance of what Obama is now doing regarding the claims that they think they are entitled to \$200 billion in taxes over the next decade from what lies offshore, has shown that the wheel of fortune has in fact completed its circle of revolution. We are formally at the very same position where we once were in 1776.

How this will be resolved, I do not know. But we must respect that the 224 Year Cycle of Political Change reached its peak in 1999. George W. Bush (the puppet of the Dark Lord Dick Cheney) came to power with that cycle and it has been downhill ever since.

The two dates on that cycle were 1775 (the start of the revolution) and 1788 (the birth of the United States). This produced a 13 year window on the 224 Year Cycle of Political Change 1999-2012. If we are to see the collapse of the United States as we once knew it, that may arrive by 2012. This means perhaps a new Third Party might rise perhaps with the name the American Party.

OBAMA'S DEMANDS THAT FOREIGN NATIONS OPEN THEIR RECORDS TO ALLOW SEARCHING FOR AMERICANS

ARE WRITS OF ASSISTANCE



What was so offensive to have then and there sparked the American Revolution, was the notion that the king may simply enter your house or any place and begin searching for anything he found to be to his dislike. It could have been something you wrote about the king that was charged as "sedition" or not paying taxes.

This is the very same power that the United States is demanding against its own people. It is demanding that all nations allow the turnover of records of any American so the new government can sift through it to see if there are taxes it can claim you owe.

There is no difference between what America is doing today and that which the king did to the colonists. It is one thing to have evidence of a crime and then charge someone with that crime. It is another to just come into your home, business, or demand from all nations, to turnover everything on Americans whatsoever.

This notion is justified in their mind by the fact that they say you owe taxes that belong to them, not you, and thus whatever means necessary justifies their action. This is the last straw of freedom and it is going up in smoke to the point America will never again be the same. Don't think that this is a pure Democratic act. It would not have


been possible had it not been for the abuse of the Republicans who have been no friend to liberty either.

The Bush Administration furthered the goals of tyranny under the neo-conservative idea that America has to dominate the world and answer to no one. Republicans criticize Obama for claiming he is a citizen of the world, arguing they are not, they are only American. This arrogance has turned American ideals into the most hated in the world. I have had friends write telling how they are now despised traveling around the world. If we expect to dictate to the world, we have to do so by example, not decree.

The Republicans abandoned their entire economic-conservative base for the religious right and the neo-conservative idea of global dominance and arrogance. Had they remained in the economic-conservative camp of Reagan and balanced the budget, reduced taxes, and set the nation on a true reform path, they would have influenced the Democrats as well, but now their economic-conservative ideas are discredited because of their own stupidity and the expansion of powers under Cheney, are being turned against the architects. Cheney should have thought what would happen if the powers he demanded were used against him. But, people drunk with power rarely see the end of that power.

Obama has to stop this insanity. Do not go down this road. Be the leader of reason, not the harbinger of tyranny!

History Repeats because of Self-Referal



History Repeats because people never change. No matter what our advances might be in terms of science, we employ nothing of the sort in our most critical field of all - politics. The model we employed at Princeton could pinpoint collapses in the political framework of nations based upon economic input. To create a model that put the current problem as the input and test what to do against a historical database, would most likely save millions of lives and restore or liberty and freedom. But that is not in the self-interest of our politicians because they want to pretend they are demigods who can solve any such problem, yet lack personal experience.

Our politicians are people who want to tell the rest of us how to live, but are incapable of listening to advice or taking criticism. They listen to only what supports their personal interest and thus we end up with a half-baked model of Aristotelian logic that leads to the same conclusions and outcome without the back-testing against history as advocated by Thucydides.

This is why history repeats. Those in power only think about that power and how to maintain it, not about the people and the future of the state. Without the modeling to back test theories that seem logical every time, we are simply doomed. History repeats because the same problem leads to the same logic the creates the same result. We learn nothing at all.

A system of self-referal is one that takes its own basic nature and reconstructs the next generation. We do that with DNA that is a code that is then blended with the mate and the next iteration becomes a reconstruction based upon that DNA model and thus it is a system that perpetuates by self-referal.

If we understand history, we can see that it repeats because of human nature never changes. Every politician will act in his self-interest. The lack of control by the people leads to the degradation of the ethics and we will soon fall into the same corruption every time. Just look at the new scandal in Britain, and the spending by the MPs that in the United States, would be life imprisonment if that were a corporate officer. We do not punish the politicians unless taking them down satisfies a certain political goal. This is self-evident by the prosecution of Stevens the Republican Senator in Alaska, or the hit carried out covertly by the "club" against Elliott Spritzer who dared to create trouble for the Investment Bankers and AIG. Everyone knew about his prostitutes on the street. They fought back by merely using the Patriot Act to do the job. That was a political assassination that is widely known behind the curtain.

What we are dangerously facing now is the lack of a Rule of Law and that property can be taken at any time if it benefits the state. You have no rights! In a bankruptcy, bond holders come first. Not in GM.

Can the United States Still Be Trusted?



There is nothing more important than the Rule of Law. Whenever it becomes as corrupted as it has become in the United States, this is a sign that the end-is-near. Obviously, I myself stand for the reality that being a corporate officer means you forfeit all personal rights because the Progressive Republicans hated corporations and in 1906 had the Supreme Court eliminated corporate rights in Hale v Henkel, 201 US 43 overruling a major famous case of truly all time, Dartmouth College v Woodward, 17 US 518 (1819) that held government cannot claim new rights over a charter, they must be stated in the law at the time of creation. I am living proof that working for a corporation now denies you any constitutional rights.

The Bankruptcy case of General Motors reveals that whatever the government wants, the government simply gets. There is no right to property any more for if it now serves a new state interest, your rights mean nothing. After all, all money is made by the government so they own it all.

The United States only pretends to be the leader of the free world, but in reality it is far from being a nation one can invest and know that your investment will be safe. The bond holders of GM will see their ass handed to them on a hub - cap. Assets will be taken from them arbitrarily and handed to the unions, and as you see, where you might have had the absolute right to your property before, that means nothing. The only constitutional court created was the Supreme Court. The entire way government was designed by Thomas Jefferson and the framers, has been altered to the point we no longer even have a constitutional form of government.

Chief Justice Marshall held in 1821 that there was no such thing as discretion

and that the Supreme Court had to listen because it was the only court created by the Constitution. Everything else in the federal world are statutory courts that can be closed at the will of the Congress. John Marshall thus made it clear:

"We have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, than to usurp that which is not given. The one of the other would be treason to the Constitution."

Cohen v Virginia, 19 US 264, 404 (1821)

Despite this reality, the Supreme Court has become a political court and by its own Rule 10, it has decided it is above the law and does not have to hear anything it does not wish to decide. So if you think the GM bond holders can vindicate any property right at all, think again. The United States has become no better than Venezuela who seized private assets for the self-interest of the state. I see no difference between taking property that should belong to bond holders and hand it to the union or to the state.

If the government believes that the union is not treated fairly, it can give it the state money. But it has no right to take the assets of bond holders and give it to the union on the premise this somehow now justifies a social goal.

Quite frankly, I saw the same problem back in the 1980s. When the S&Ls went down, the government resold them. A friend bought one against my better judgment to wait. The government later changed the rules retroactively and increased the terms of capital and since he could not meet the new terms, they took the S&L and he lost whatever he paid.

It is unfortunate, but quite honestly, I cannot see buying distressed anything that involves the government. They simply cannot be trusted and you have zero rights in a court of law.

At a 1997 conference in Princeton, Lady Margaret Thatcher came to speak. She was asked; "What country would you invest in Russia or China?" She replied: "I would not invest a dime in either because they do not respect the rule of law." To my shock, we must now be cautious about dealing with the United States for the same reason.

Degradation of American liberty and the Rule of Law has to be attributed greatly to none other than former Vice President Dick Cheney. His policy of sheer intolerance is beyond belief. I was thrown into prison on civil contempt and placed deliberately along side terrorists, some of whom were arbitrarily imprisoned with nothing at all. One was an Egyptian student who they claimed had a radio and guided the planes into the World Trade Center. They told the court he confessed. A few weeks later, the American Airline pilot showed up looking for his radio he left in his hotel room. The student was in another room in the very same hotel. The Judge ordered the Justice Department to explain how they obtained a confession from a innocent man. The Justice Department told the judge he had no power to order them to investigate themselves. They were just arresting muslims like they did in World War II concerning the Japanese. They had no basis, they just arbitrarily imprisoned countless people based upon racism. What if a white man in Pakistan killed someone. Would the world be outraged if they arrested every white man and then claim they all confessed? Several men were held in Brooklyn. They were tortured and sued. The Government just settled to prevent a public trial so Americans would not know what its government did.



Dick Cheney ex-VP

I also met plenty of white muslims arrested for all sorts of things. When they were found to be clear of terrorism, they were charged with something else to cover up the entire round-up. One man was charged with money laundering because he used one credit card to pay another to meet gambling debts. He was sentenced for 3 years for that.

I met the first so-called terrorist to be sentenced to 17 years because he lived next to the man who flew the first plane into the World Trade Center. To arrest him, they claimed someone saw him walking down the street with a key of heroin. There were no drugs and the court appointed lawyer sold him down the river telling him to plea because Americans hated Arabs. He was in tears in my cell. He left Afghanistan for he had three daughters and wanted to protect them from the Taliban. If he were a terrorist, there is no way they would give him any plea less than life.

I fail to see the purpose in lying just to get convictions and pretend the government is never wrong. No major press would ever report these things for they too live in fear. I have met journalists who do know, but it is the editor who calls the shots, not any principle of liberty and justice for all. The press in England is far more independent than what we have in the United States.

History repeats because human nature just never changes. There will always be corrupt politicians who believe it is their right to take away liberty for the people must be controlled.

**THE QUESTION IS
NOT WHETHER CHANGE WILL COME
BUT HOW AND WHEN**

In the last issue I gave the real full story of **Gaius Julius Caesar** showing that it was the massive corruption that forced the civil war. The sheer pervasive corruption in the politics of Rome is far from unique. No matter what form of government we look at, we always find the degradation into a true Oligarchy. Democracy is no exception.

Octavian, Caesar's heir, fought a second civil war and upon defeating **Brutus**, he sent his head to Rome to be thrown at the foot of Caesar's statue. Unlike Caesar, when prisoners tried to explain their presence in the ranks of the rebels, he ordered "You must die" **Sue. Divus Augustus, 15, 1**. He had 300 noble prisoners sacrificed on the Ides of March to now **Divus Caesar, ibid**. He had **Mark Antony's** will read to the people and then declared war on **Cleopatra**. **Octavian** destroyed all remnants of the Roman Oligarchy. Rome was flushed clean of all such corruption that dominated for many decades previously.



Octavian (Augustus) 27BC - 14 AD

I have been asked do I really think that there is any chance for the termination of the Income Tax? The answer is yes! History demonstrates on several occasions taxes were abolished because of great and significant economic as well as political stress.

I showed how Caesar diverted from the demands of the **Populares** who expected him to abolish all debts. The collapse of the Roman Empire into a second civil war did create tremendous stress economically. We find that Octavian after defeating Mark Antony, was still very cautious. He had one man executed for recording his speech, *Sue.* 27. He had another executed just because he clutched some tablets under his cloak that he feared might have been a sword *ibid.* He even twice thought of restoring the Republic *Sue.*, 28, but feared what would happen by letting it fall back into many hands as it once was under the Oligarchy. Monarchy seems to have been the only practical solution retaining the Senate over which he held a veto type of power. He clearly considered the welfare of the nation and did not in any way fall into the personal motives of greed that the Oligarchy had accused would happen. Imperial Rome was thus born out of necessity because of senatorial corruption.

The Roman Historian **Cassius Dio** was born about 163AD and wrote about 80 books in all. He tells us what Octavian did to truly endear himself to the people, he declared as Dio reports:

"At this time too Octavian cancelled all debts owed to the public treasury before the battle of Actium, excepting those which were secured by buildings and he burned the notes of those who in years past had owed money to the state."

Cassius Dio, Roman History


Book 53, 2, 8

Octavian was voted the title of being the Father of His Country - **Augustus**. He had even decreed in an edict his intentions stating:

"May I be privileged to build firm and lasting foundations for the commonwealth. May I also achieve the reward to which I aspire: that of being known as the author of the best possible constitution, and of carrying with me, when I die, the hope that these foundations will abide secure."

Sue., 28, 4

Augustus, for whom the month of August is named after, was fond of boasting "I found Rome built of bricks; I leave it clothed in marble" *Sue.* 28, 7. He rebuilt Rome from the ground up in marble, law and custom. He even imposed family laws. All of this he stated was "to make my fellow citizens insist that both I (while I live) and the leaders of following ages shall not fall below the standard set by those great men of old." *Sue.* 31. He sat in appeal of judgments himself and stayed until nightfall to ensure the people received justice. We are told he was "both conscientious and lenient." *Sue.* 33, 2. He also had created many new public jobs to give those a chance at learning government. He even created the office of sort of the mayor of Rome known as the **Prefect of the City**, *Sue.* 37, 2. He is said to have always carried a sword under his tunic and wore also a metal corselet beneath his tunic, *Sue.* 35. He increased the property requirement to be a senator from 800,000 to 1.2 million sesterces.



224-YEAR Cycle of Political Change Aligned to the United States

Copyright Martin A. Armstrong

As I explained in "It's Just Time" that the two dates critical to the United States as a political entity are the start of the American Revolution (April 19th, 1775), and the day the Constitution was in fact ratified - (June 21st, 1788). If we look at these two dates, we have a very interesting 13 year interval.

The grouping factor of 6 waves I also believe is instigated by the fact that 6 is the **Perfect Number** with the next being 28. Therefore, this 13-year differential is divided by 6 waves. Each minor reaction rally you see above is 0.19726 of one year and this amounts also to a critical timing number of 72 days. You will obviously key in on the fact that 2001.691 is September 10th, 2001 - one day shy of the infamous 911 World Trade Center attack. Of course, as I previously pointed out, plotting from the peak of the 8.6 year wave 1998.554298 adding Pi, we again end up with 2001.69589 that turns out to be precisely September 11th, 2001. If we add Pi to the top of the current 8.6 year wave 2007.15, we arrive at April 16th, 2010 - 2010.291.

The furthest projection is taking the 224 Year Cycle of Political Change and using the start date as Washington's inauguration date of April 30th, 1789. This produces the furthest extension going to 2013.3287.

The most profound change insofar as a complete collapse, comes 72 years after the major high. That places the end times

so to speak beginning in 2071 with the worst possible extension coming in 2085.

So far, we have had 42 presidents in the wave, but there have been 24 changes in trends - switching parties. The minor changes follow a general cycle of 12 years. Dividing that into the 224 year cycle, gives us the major frequency of 18.6 years for a change. This groups into half waves of 3 units and thus the major targets were:

- 1789 - Washington sworn in
- 1845 - Polk Elected as Democrat defeating Whigs
- 1901 - Teddy Roosevelt Republican **Progressive (Socialist)** defeat Democrats
- 1957 - Eisenhower (mid-term) 1st Republican post-FDR
- 2013 - ?

If we take 2×18.666 we end up back at the key frequency 37.33, which is the number of weeks within an 8.6 month cycle. There are 6 groups of 37.33 years within a 224 year cycle

In other words, 2013 appears to be a serious target where there could arise a new party altogether. Obama is at risk of being a one-term President and the Republicans don't seem to be able to stop the religious nonsense.

There definitely appears to be a real live potential for the birth of a new and exciting economic conservative party.

Conclusion



FORTUNA

We are headed into such a major eye-of-the-storm, there is a serious risk that Obama may be a one-term President. This is not a bullish forecast for the Republicans, for they do not appear to be a rising star on the horizon. The American people are on the precipice of dissatisfaction politically. We may see the the share market decline into the full 31 month decline and we may see the collapse in the debt structure due to the insane proposals of having the feds guaranteeing all the state debt.

There appears to be a misconception here on the part of the Democrats that the change in the backlash that took place throwing out the Republicans, is not a mandate to alter the entire economic structure. There was only a 4% differential in the polls, and that is not a sweeping mandate. The attacking of the offshore so-called tax havens is likely to destroy far more jobs than anyone even can imagine.

We appear on a precipice, staring into the abyss of complete economic meltdown. The days of government borrowing are rapidly coming to an end. The **Wheel of Fortune** has completed its revolution. Fortuna was a Roman goddess who was worshiped in Italy from the earliest of times. She was typically presented as bearing a cornucopia that was the symbol of abundance and in her right hand, she is steering a rudder of a ship that symbolized that she controlled destinies. This symbol from which we still derive the word "fortune" is truly of ancient origin.

She was sometimes pictured standing also on a ball, symbolizing how fate can be turned ever so rapidly. These symbols are where we currently stand. Truly the **Wheel of Fortune** has completed its revolution. It is now time to pay attention closely and do not assume that everything will always turnout as in the past. There are often new twists and turns that lay in store for our future.

We may see still exceptionally high volatility ahead. Nearly 40% of share volume is now taking place in the ETFs rather than the shares themselves. The sheer crazy ideas emerging from the politicians are simply unimaginable. It would be so nice for once just to have somebody who has practical experience (not from Goldman Sachs), to put Humpty Dumpty back together again.

The Dow is still in a position that remains very weak. As long as it cannot get back above 10,000, we may see a whipsaw where we still make new lows with the most extreme move being to 4,000, and then turn-around and run for all time new highs. This is more in line with the general patterns of the Great Depression insofar as the 1932 low in July was followed by an amazing rally into 1937 while the economy was still going down. The rise was not on news that the economy was turning. It was on the very practical aspect that Roosevelt confiscated gold and was devaluing the dollar by 62%. The stocks became a hedge against inflation because everything has a international value that will be judged by all nations in terms of their home currency.

Our politicians may think they are looking at trillion dollar deficits as far as the eye can see, yet they are sublimely stupid because they just assume someone will buy their debt. They have not figured out what happens when the music stops playing and their is no chair to sit on. Their self-interest is destroying our future.